



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,788	06/28/2000	Yosef Rizal Tamsil	2705-115	6322

20575 7590 03/26/2004

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC
1030 SW MORRISON STREET
PORTLAND, OR 97205

EXAMINER

STEVENS, ROBERTA A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2665

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/606,788

Applicant(s)

TAMSIL, YOSEF RIZAL

Examiner

Roberta A Stevens

Art Unit

2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3, and 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sayers (U.S. 6539237 B1).

3. Regarding claim 1, Sayers teaches (figures 11 and 13) a method for providing supplementary services in a packet voice network, comprising: receiving list of information elements from a sending station; inserting the list into a call control message, wherein any supplementary services information included in the information element is preserved; including a locally significant message in a globally significant message, wherein any supplementary services information in the locally significant messages is preserved; and sending the call control message and the globally significant message to a receiving station (column 12 and 19-21).

4. Regarding claims 3 Sayers teaches (column 19-21) appending the information elements to a call control message for a voice over packet network.

5. Regarding claim 5 Sayers teaches (column 19-21) the packet network comprises one of voice over FR, voice over IP and voice over ATM.

6. Regarding claim 6 Sayers teaches (figure 11) the locally significant message comprises a release message.

Art Unit: 2665

7. Regarding claim 7 Sayers teaches (figure 11) the locally significant message comprises a release complete message.

8. Regarding claim 8 Sayers teaches (figure 11) the globally significant message comprises a disconnect message.

9. Regarding claim 9 Sayers teaches (figure 11) the globally significant message comprises a facility message.

10. Regarding claims 10, Sayers teaches (figures 11 and 13) a network device for providing supplementary services in a packet voice network, comprising: a first communication device operable to communicate with a sending node; a second communication device operable to communicate with a receiving node; and a tandem node operable to transfer supplementary services information from the first communication device to the second communication device such that the supplementary services information is transferred to the receiving node after the sending node sends a disconnect message (columns 19-22).

11. Regarding claims 11, Sayers teaches (columns 19-22) the communication devices have routers.

12. Regarding claims 12, Sayers teaches (columns 19-22) the communication devices are part of one router.

13. Regarding claims 13, Sayers teaches (figures 11 and 13) a computer readable medium containing software code, including code operable to receiving supplementary services information from a sending station; code operable to insert the supplementary service information into a call control message; code operable to include a locally significant message

Art Unit: 2665

into a globally significant message; and code operable to send the call control message and the globally significant message to a receiving station (columns 12 and 19-22).

14. Regarding claims 14, Sayers teaches (columns 11-12) the medium is a downloadable file distributed across a network.

15. Regarding claims 15, Sayers teaches (columns 11-12) the medium is a computer file transferred from a directly connecting computing device.

16. Regarding claims 16, Sayers teaches (figures 11 and 13) a network device operable to provide supplementary services information, comprising a first means for communicating with sending node; a second means for communicating with receiving node; and means for transferring a locally significant message from the first to the second means;

17. Regarding claims 17, Sayers teaches (figures 11 and 13) a network device operable to provide supplementary services information, comprising a first communication device operable to communicate with a sending node; a second communication device operable to communicate with receiving node; and wherein the first device is operable to transfer supplementary services information to the second device such that the supplementary services information is transferred to the receiving node after a sending node sends a disconnect message.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2665

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

19. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sayers.
20. Regarding claim 2, as mentioned above Sayers teaches all of the limitations of claim 1.
21. Sayers does not teach Q.931 messages. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Sayers system Q.931 messages, as they are well known in the art.
22. Regarding claim 4, as mentioned above Sayers teaches all of the limitations of claim 1.
23. Sayers does not teach H.225 messages. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Sayers system Q.225 messages, as they are well known in the art.

Conclusion

24. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberta Stevens whose telephone number is (703) 308-6607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m.
25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached on (703) 308-6602.
26. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.
27. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2665

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

For informal draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington, VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Roberta A. Stevens

Patent Examiner

03-17-04

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'SHD' with a long horizontal stroke extending from the top.

STEVEN H.D NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER